Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 1 of 22 PageID# 7113

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                        ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
    JANE DOE,
                                  Civil Action No.:
 4
                                   1:21-cv-1150
                 Plaintiff, :
 5
                                 Tuesday, January 10, 2023
         versus
 6
    FAIRFAX POLICE OFFICER
    No. 1, et al.,
 7
                 Defendants.
        -----x
 8
 9
            The above-entitled jury trial was heard before the
    Honorable Anthony J. Trenga, United States District Judge.
10
    This proceeding commenced at 5:14 p.m.
11
                       APPEARANCES:
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
12
                          VICTOR M. GLASBERG, ESQUIRE
                          NICKERA S. RODRIGUEZ, ESQUIRE
13
                          VICTOR M. GLASBERG & ASSOCIATES
                          121 S. Columbus Street
14
                          Alexandria, Virginia 22314
                          (703) 684-1100
15
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS: HEATHER K. BARDOT, ESQUIRE
                       KARA A. SCHMIDT, ESQUIRE
16
    (Barbazette &
    Mardocco)
                          MCGAVIN, BOYCE, BARDOT,
17
                          THORSEN & KATZ, P.C.
                          9990 Fairfax Boulevard
18
                          Suite 400
                          Fairfax, Virginia 22030
19
                          (703) 385-1000
20
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS: JAMIE M. GREENZWEIG, ESQUIRE
     (Baumstark & KIMBERLY P. BAUCOM, ESQUIRE
21
                          FAIRFAX COUNTY OFFICE OF THE
    Roessler)
                          COUNTY ATTORNEY
2.2
                          12000 Government Center Parkway
                          Suite 549
2.3
                          Fairfax, Virginia 22035
                          (703) 324-2421
2.4
25
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 2 of 22 PageID# 7114

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	FOR INTERESTED PARTY: AI (Hazel Sanchez) HA	SHLINN BOTTINI, ESQUIRE YNES AND BOONE LLP
3	30	Rockefeller Plaza
4	Ne	w York, New York 10112 12) 659-7300
5		NCENT SHIER, ESQUIRE
6		YNES AND BOONE LLP 0 17th Street, NW
7		shington, D.C. 20006 02) 654-4530
8		EPHANIE M. AUSTIN, RPR, CRR
9	Un	ficial Court Reporter ited States District Court
10	Al	1 Courthouse Square exandria, Virginia 22314
11		71) 298-1649 AustinReporting@gmail.com
12 13	COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

```
1
                        PROCEEDINGS
 2
                               EXCERPT
 3
                       (Time noted: 5:14 p.m.)
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Bardot.
 5
               MS. BARDOT: If you bear with me one minute. Let
 6
    me get a little organized.
 7
               THE COURT: Yes.
 8
               MS. BARDOT: I have too many binders, I apologize.
 9
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
10
     BY MS. BARDOT:
11
          Dr. Mehlman-Orozco, when you drafted your reports in
12
     this matter for Mr. Glasberg, you knew that they would be
13
     used for purposes of litigation; correct?
14
          Yes, ma'am.
15
          And you knew that you would be an expert witness
     getting on the stand in front of a jury providing testimony
16
17
     in support of Doe's case in her quest to get a judgment
18
     against these defendants; correct?
19
          My understanding is that I would provide testimony to
20
     educate the trier of fact; not necessarily in support -- or
21
     certainly not in support of one side or the other, but based
2.2
     off the data, science, research and information that I've
2.3
     obtained through my career.
2.4
          All right. And so in preparing to come before this
25
     jury -- in preparing to come before this jury, you spoke
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 4 of 22 PageID# 7116

Excerpt - Cross-examination of Kimberly Mehlman-Orozco by
Ms. Bardot

1 with Jane Doe; correct? 2 I conducted several interviews with her. Yes, ma'am. 3 And that was in June of 2022; correct? 4 I believe June, and potentially a couple days in July. 5 But yes. 6 And you did not review her deposition or her sworn 7 testimony; correct? I'm not -- I don't recall whether that was one of the 8 9 documents that was provided to me after the submission of my 10 reports. 11 Okay. But in writing your reports, you had no sworn 12 testimony from her; correct? 13 She had not been deposed, yet. 14 And you've never spoken with my clients; correct? 15 No, ma'am. 16 I didn't ask the question very well. 17 Have you ever spoken with my clients? No, ma'am. 18 19 Okay. And you haven't spoken with Officer --20 Mr. Baumstark; correct? 2.1 No, ma'am, I have not. 22 And you haven't spoken with Ed Roessler either; 2.3 correct? 2.4 No, ma'am, I have not. 25 Okay. And you haven't spoken, in writing your reports,

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 5 of 22 PageID# 7117

```
1
     with Cindy Alvarado; correct?
 2
          That's correct. She had not, I think -- because she
 3
     hadn't been deposed, and I certainly hadn't spoken to her.
 4
          And you didn't write any supplemental reports in this
 5
     case either; correct?
 6
          I was not asked to write any supplemental reports in
 7
     this case.
 8
          Okay. And so the information that you got in this case
 9
     was largely information that Doe provided to you when you
10
     wrote your reports; correct?
11
          I would say largely the information that's included in
     my reports is based off of my expertise, my research, my
12
13
     education and my work within the field. And then, in
14
     addition to that, I reviewed the documents that were
15
     provided to me which include various documents from the
16
     criminal prosecution of Hazel Sanchez, some documents that I
     believe I can't say what they were, and also certainly the
17
18
     interview that I conducted. But also the searches that I
     personally performed looking for online advertisements, as
19
20
     well as commercial sex consumer reviews of the -- Hazel
21
     Sanchez's commercial sex enterprise.
2.2
          I want to talk about what you didn't review.
2.3
          Okay.
     Α
2.4
          Okay. You didn't review answers to interrogatories
25
     from any party, questions that were sent to one another
                                                                5
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 6 of 22 PageID# 7118

Excerpt - Cross-examination of Kimberly Mehlman-Orozco by Ms. Bardot

1 where answers were given; correct? 2 That's not correct. I believe I did review that. 3 Well, why don't you pull out your report, because I'm 4 quite confident it's not listed there, and you testified at your deposition that you had not. 5 Let's see. 6 7 And I think it's on page 50 of your first report, the 8 documents that you reviewed. 9 There's not a deposition listed or answers to 10 interrogatories; correct? 11 My recollection -- it's -- no deposition is listed; 12 however, I do recall seeing answers to interrogatories. 13 don't know if it was after or --14 I'm asking at the time you wrote your report, in that 15 list that you have right there, it does not reference that 16 you've reviewed answers to interrogatories; correct? 17 That is correct. It's not listed. All right. And you spoke with nobody other than Doe 18 19 before you wrote that report; correct? 20 That's correct. I did not conduct any other interviews 21 except for of the plaintiff. 22 All right. You did review Ms. Sanchez's sentencing 2.3 memorandum in her criminal case, I think you referred to 2.4 that; correct? 25 Correct.

6

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 7 of 22 PageID# 7119

```
1
          And you knew that she was not charged with a TVPRA
 2
     offense; correct?
 3
          Correct.
 4
          All right. And in that sentencing memorandum for
 5
     Ms. Sanchez, you know that it says that there are 272,000 --
 6
     272,000 pages of discovery with nothing showing coercion by
 7
     Sanchez, and you admitted, when I took your deposition, that
     you reviewed none of those documents; correct?
 8
 9
          That's correct.
10
          All right. And you made no attempt to review those
11
     documents; correct?
12
          The documents -- which documents again?
          The 272,000 pages of documents that show that
13
14
     Ms. Sanchez did not coerce the plaintiff.
15
               MR. GLASBERG: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
     the plaintiff -- counsel is testifying through her question.
16
17
               THE COURT: Well, this is information that I
18
     understand that she had available to her and she reviewed.
               MR. GLASBERG: Well, the 250,000 pages were not
19
20
     produced in response to my Touhy request, they were not
21
     produced to the defendants because they didn't ask, and what
22
     was produced was heavily redacted. We don't know what was
2.3
     in that document.
2.4
               THE COURT: Hold on. Let me see counsel.
25
                          (Bench conference.)
                                                                7
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 8 of 22 PageID# 7120

```
1
               THE COURT: She was provided the sentencing
 2
    memorandum?
 3
               MS. BARDOT: Correct.
 4
               THE COURT: You're asking her if she had the
 5
     sentencing memorandum?
 6
               MS. BARDOT: I asked her that, and all were
 7
     without objection, if she reviewed the 272,000 pages of
 8
     discovery materials. She answered that without objection.
 9
               So my follow-up question: Did you make any
     attempt to review them. Her answer will be no, she made no
10
11
     attempt to try to get the materials.
12
                           I think you can ask her about what was
               THE COURT:
13
     provided to her and the substance of what was provided to
14
     her, whether she considered that.
15
               MS. BARDOT: Okay. Very well.
16
               MR. GLASBERG: Yeah. Well --
17
               MS. BARDOT: I'm going to -- withdrawn.
               MR. GLASBERG: With the qualification that she not
18
19
     add her gloss on it describing what is or is not in the
20
     documents that she has never seen, that I have never seen.
2.1
               THE COURT: Right. You're not going to do that.
22
               MS. BARDOT:
                            I'm done. I withdraw the question.
2.3
     I'm going to move on.
2.4
                             (Open court.)
25
     BY MS. BARDOT:
                                                                8
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 9 of 22 PageID# 7121

```
1
          You understand from your review of the sentencing
 2
    memorandum that Ms. Sanchez disputes that she ever subjected
 3
     Doe to sex trafficking; correct?
 4
          Yes, that's my understanding.
 5
          Okay. And you did not speak with Ms. Sanchez or
 6
     attempt to speak with her; correct?
 7
          That's correct.
 8
          You did not review any documents related to immigration
 9
     issues which Doe was facing at the time she decided to go to
10
     the FBI and report that she claimed to be trafficked;
11
     correct?
12
          I know I did review something related to her
     immigration proceedings. I don't know if it was a T visa
13
14
     application, a declaration associated with that, but I did.
15
          That was the limitation of what you reviewed was her
16
     declaration in support of her T visa application; correct?
17
          That's my recollection.
18
          So, for instance, you don't know if when she went --
19
               MR. GLASBERG: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
20
     the question if counsel is going to introduce facts that
2.1
     are --
22
               THE COURT: Right. I don't think you can --
2.3
               MS. BARDOT: I haven't even asked the next
2.4
     question.
25
               THE COURT: Go ahead. All right. You shouldn't
```

```
1
     describe the substance of something she didn't review.
 2
               MS. BARDOT: I'm not intending to.
 3
               THE COURT: All right.
 4
     BY MS. BARDOT:
 5
          So did you see any other immigration papers related to
 6
     Doe at all?
 7
          Not that I can recall.
          You previously testified that it was your understanding
 8
 9
     when Doe went to the FBI that she was facing a legal
10
     proceeding based on an allegation that she was engaged in
11
     sex work.
               What was that allegation, as you understood it,
12
13
     that caused her to go to the FBI?
14
          My recollection is that at -- I think at two different
15
     points following her escape from Hazel Sanchez's commercial
16
     sex operation, that she had engaged in sex work, one, by
17
     virtue of her second husband who she described as
     trafficking her. Not in her words, but based on how she
18
19
     described that situation. But, in addition to that,
20
     consistent with what I see in sex trafficking survivors, she
21
     engaged --
2.2
          I'm asking specific as to Doe.
2.3
               So when you said that Doe had gone to the FBI
2.4
     because she was facing allegations that she was engaged in
25
     sex work, are you talking about her second husband and the
```

```
1
     prostitution charge that came out of it?
 2
          I would like to finish my answer.
 3
               THE COURT: Why don't you answer her question, and
 4
     Mr. Glasberg can bring out what you want to bring out.
 5
               THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you ask specifically
 6
     what you would like me to answer?
 7
     BY MS. BARDOT:
 8
          I thought I had, but I'll try again.
 9
               So when you said that she had made her tip to the
10
     FBI because she had an allegation that she was engaged in
11
     sex work, is it your understanding that she went to the FBI
12
     after she got charged with prostitution on her assertion
     that her husband was causing her to traffic herself -- I
13
14
     mean to prostitute herself?
15
          My understanding -- and I just want to be clear -- is
     that following Hazel Sanchez's commercial sex operation,
16
     that Jane Doe, through self-report and other extant
17
18
     information, engaged in survival sex on two occasions on two
19
     separate points in time where she was independent, she was
20
     not being trafficked, and then was also sex trafficked by
2.1
     her second husband.
22
               With that being said, I am not clear the charges,
23
     what they were associated with. I don't recall whether they
2.4
     were associated with the sex trafficking by her second
25
     husband or the survival sex that she engaged in
                                                                11
```

```
1
     independently.
 2
               MS. BARDOT:
                            Okay. Your Honor, I'm going to move
 3
     to strike. I think that was non-responsive. You had
 4
     already talked about the survival sex, and certainly I think
 5
     it was non-responsive.
 6
               THE COURT: Overruled. I'm not going to strike
 7
     the answer.
               Go ahead.
 8
 9
     BY MS. BARDOT:
10
          During what period of time do you understand that she
11
     was allegedly trafficked by her second husband, Ben Lugo?
12
          It's not clear from my notes. I think that in two
13
     different places, it had either said 2015 to 2016 or 2015 to
14
     2018. So I'm not exactly clear. But sometime between that
15
     time period.
16
          Did you ever speak with or attempt to speak with
17
     Mr. Lugo?
18
          I did not.
          All right. You also did not review any emails or texts
19
20
     between Sanchez and Doe which may refute Doe's claims of
2.1
     trafficking prior to writing your report; correct?
          I did review emails. I don't recall whether it was
2.2
2.3
     before or after writing the reports, but I absolutely did
2.4
     review emails.
25
          Okay.
                                                                12
```

```
1
          And I did review the responses to interrogatories.
 2
     don't know if it was an oversight, but I certainly did
 3
     review them at some point.
 4
          Well, do you remember me asking you, during your
     deposition on July 18, 2022 at page 71, the very question
 5
 6
     I've just asked you: "This sentencing memorandum refers to
 7
     a number of text messages and emails between Sanchez and
     Doe, which Sanchez's claims refutes Doe's claims that she
 8
 9
     was part of a sex trafficking ring. Have you reviewed
10
     those?" And you said you had not reviewed those. Was your
11
     testimony truthful when you gave it?
12
          Of course it's truthful. So then that suggests that I
13
     reviewed it afterwards. But I did review the emails.
14
          That wasn't my question.
15
               My question was: When you wrote your report,
16
     would you agree that you hadn't read any of the emails
17
    between Sanchez and Doe?
          And my response is I didn't recall whether I had
18
19
     reviewed it before or after drafting the report seven months
20
     ago.
21
          All right. And you claim that one of the reasons that
22
     Doe was sort of frightened or stuck with Sanchez was because
2.3
     she feared that Sanchez would reach out to her family, her
2.4
     ex-husband, so forth, and tell them that she was involved in
25
     prostitution; correct?
                                                                13
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 14 of 22 PageID# 7126

```
1
          In part, yes.
 2
          Okay. But you knew that, as of 2009, her husband had
 3
     already accused her of prostitution; correct?
 4
          I don't recall.
 5
          Do you remember testifying about this at your
 6
     deposition where the media reports during the bitter divorce
 7
     in 2009 reflected that her husband accused her of
 8
     prostitution?
 9
          I don't recall in 2009 to 2010 that obviously they were
10
     in a very public and bitter divorce, and I don't recall
11
     exactly what the allegations were that were going back and
12
     forth, honestly.
13
          Have you ever spoken with her ex-husband?
14
          No, ma'am, I have not.
15
          Have you ever read any of the declarations that he
     provided in connection with the Sanchez criminal case?
16
17
               MR. GLASBERG: Excuse me. Judge, I'm going to
18
     object. I've let it go for a while. The witness is
19
     introducing evidence into this case which she claims to be
20
     evidence. She could call Kiko Robles if she wants.
21
     could depose Kiko Robles. She could get information
2.2
     about --
2.3
                           I'm going to overrule it. She's
               THE COURT:
2.4
     simply asking what she reviewed and didn't review.
25
               Go ahead.
                                                                14
```

```
1
               MS. BARDOT:
                            That's all I'm asking.
 2
     BY MS. BARDOT:
 3
          Did you read his declaration from the criminal case?
          I don't -- I don't recall.
 4
 5
          Have you ever looked at a visa or a passport or any
     travel documents which would reflect dates when Doe came in
 6
 7
     or out of the United States?
 8
          Those were not provided to me, so I did not review
 9
     them.
10
          Did you search to determine whether you could find any
11
     ads that may have been posted of Doe in the period prior to
12
     2010 when she met Sanchez?
13
          I did not have any information for which I could
14
     search.
15
          What do you mean by that when you say you did not have
     any information for which you could search?
16
          So in searching for of the advertisements that were
17
     published through Hazel Sanchez's operation, I started with
18
19
     a seed of information before doing a snowball sample. So,
20
     essentially, I had some information that was tied to the
21
     commercial sex operation, namely the email addresses that
22
     were being used, according to the Government documents from
2.3
     her prosecution, as well as her phone number. And so it was
     through that initial piece of information that was able to
2.4
25
     do a snowball search finding all of the other advertisements
```

```
1
     that were posted using that same contact information.
 2
               My recollection is when I asked Jane Doe about her
 3
     contact information during the alleged trafficking period
 4
     and prior, I don't think she recalled what her number was,
 5
     or I don't remember having that information for which I
 6
     could search.
 7
          Okay. You did indicate when you searched, you did not
     find any ads that were posted from 2012 onward that
 8
 9
     reflected Doe; correct?
10
          That is correct. I did not find any that featured her
11
     pseudonym or her photographs.
12
          And you were aware that Sanchez has asserted that she
13
     did not have any affiliation with Doe after 2012; correct?
14
          I am aware that Hazel Sanchez claims that she did not
15
     sell anybody except for herself post 2012.
16
          Okay. My question was specific to Doe.
17
          And the answer is nobody besides herself, which -- and
18
     "nobody" includes, obviously, Doe.
          When you were testifying earlier about 6 percent of the
19
20
     funds were kept and so forth, that's completely speculative
21
     on your part because you don't know how many times Doe went
22
     in and out of the United States, how many customers were
2.3
     actually seen each day, how much they paid, et cetera;
2.4
     correct?
25
          It wasn't speculative; it was based off of the
                                                                16
```

- 1 | information that was provided to me.
- 2 Q Right. Which wasn't any information about whether she
- 3 was in the United States from, let's say December 10th, 2010
- 4 to December 14th and then gone for three months. You have
- 5 no information on that; do you?
- 6 A On the number of times exactly, no, not definitively.
- 7 Q Or the number of days that she actually stayed in the
- 8 United States when she was here; correct?
- 9 A I think the information that I had was that it was
- 10 several weeks at a time or several months at a time, but it
- 11 wasn't, you know, a few days.
- 12 Q Well, that's based on what Doe told you; right?
- 13 A I'm not sure. So certainly, yes, that is her
- 14 | self-report, but I don't recall whether that was in the
- 15 | criminal -- any of the criminal documents related to
- 16 Ms. Sanchez's -- like, for example the sentencing
- memorandum.
- 18 Q And did you ask Doe to give you her passport or her
- 19 visa or her travel documents or anything which would have
- 20 allowed you to see when she was here and when she was not
- 21 and how long she stayed?
- 22 A I don't recall exactly, but I believe I did ask for
- 23 that, and it wasn't available.
- 24 O Okay. It wasn't available or was not provided to you?
- A My recollection is that she didn't have it or that she

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 18 of 22 PageID# 7130

Excerpt - Cross-examination of Kimberly Mehlman-Orozco by Ms. Bardot

1 wasn't clear on ... 2 Well, you know that she claims that when she escaped 3 Sanchez -- allegedly escaped her in 2015, that she had her 4 passport with her because Sanchez had not yet taken it, you 5 know that; correct? 6 That's not my recollection of what was provided to me. 7 Okay. So if the plaintiff has provided that testimony, 8 you don't know of that? 9 That her passport --10 That she had it with her when she allegedly escaped 11 Sanchez. You're not aware of that? 12 Just to be clear, you had initially said that it was 13 not taken from her until after her alleged trafficking 14 situation, which is not consistent with what I was told. 15 I'm not sure you're understanding my question. 16 Are you aware that when Doe allegedly escaped 17 Sanchez in 2015, that she had her passport with her? My recollection is that she did take her purse and some 18 19 documents or some possessions when she left. How about her passport? 20 21 I don't think I recall whether that was part of it or 22 not. I honestly don't recall. 2.3 So when you said the passport was unavailable, you 2.4 don't know if she possesses it or not, you just know you 25 don't have it? 18

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 19 of 22 PageID# 7131

```
1
          Correct.
 2
          Okay. When we were talking about some of these ads
 3
     that are at Exhibit 7, these are ads posted on various
 4
     websites, Live Escorts, et cetera; correct?
 5
               THE CSO: Which binder?
 6
                            I'm sorry. This would be the
               MS. BARDOT:
 7
     plaintiff's binder.
 8
               THE WITNESS: And the question was these are --
     BY MS. BARDOT:
 9
10
          Right. I was getting you there first.
11
               So these ads that are Exhibit Number 7 are on
     various websites, Live Escort Review, some other ads that I
12
13
     think you pulled that were Backpage and Eros; correct?
14
          So the websites -- so it has Erotic Monkey, Escort
15
     Picture, Escort Women, Adult Look.
16
          And you would agree that voluntary commercial sex
17
     workers used these websites, too; correct?
18
          Yes, ma'am.
          Okay. And so when you said that these ads are of
19
20
     similar style, maybe suggestive then of trafficking, they're
21
     also similar style suggestive of commercial sex work that's
22
     voluntary; correct?
2.3
          Well, the distinction between the two, which hopefully
2.4
     I made pretty clear, is that typically independent sex
25
     workers who are consenting adults are not in a network.
                                                               So
                                                                19
```

```
1
     networks, the network style of these particular
 2
     advertisements, is what makes them at risk of involving
 3
     trafficking.
 4
          My question is this: The way these are posted, meaning
 5
     the way the women are positioned, the amount of undress or
 6
     not undress, these are consistent with both sex trafficking
 7
     and voluntary commercial sex work; correct?
 8
          That is correct in the sense that there's nothing about
 9
     the individual pictures; it's the totality of the
10
     circumstances. The totality of the information across the
11
     advertisements that rises to the level of concern on
12
     trafficking.
13
          Okay. And when you did your research into ads that
     pertained to Doe, she was listed as Diana; right?
14
15
          That's correct.
          And in addition to finding ads which showed a number
16
17
     that was the same as Hazel Sanchez's number, you also found
     ads that had a separate phone number on them that did not
18
     link to Hazel Sanchez's phone numbers; correct?
19
20
          They did, through a snowball sample. So as I had
21
    mentioned, you start with a seed, which is the number that
22
     was provided by the Government in her criminal
2.3
     investigation. Then you start with that number or that
2.4
     email address, I think both were provided, and you look to
25
     see what other ads are associated with them.
```

```
So when you're saying that there are phone numbers
 1
 2
     that are not associated with her, but they are, because
 3
     based off of my snowball sample, that phone number, a
 4
     separate phone number, which was not provided to me, was
 5
     linked, for example, to her email address, or to an
 6
     advertisement that did contain her contact information that
 7
     was provided by the Government.
 8
               So it is through that snowball sample that it is
 9
     directly linked to the seed information that was provided
10
     for Ms. Sanchez.
11
          Well, do you remember testifying at your deposition
12
     about a -- an advertisement that you found for Doe dated
13
     October 8, 2011 which had a phone number, (571) 577-2335,
14
     which contained within it nothing else that said Andrea
15
     Fairfax, Hazel Sanchez, anything else at all?
16
          I don't recall that specific testimony.
17
          Okay.
          However, with that being said, if it was an
18
     advertisement --
19
20
          You've answered my question. Thank you.
21
          May I finish?
2.2
          No. So that was my question.
2.3
               THE COURT: Mr. Glasberg can ask you to finish up.
     BY MS. BARDOT:
2.4
25
          And when you interviewed Doe, she told you she did not
```

Case 1:21-cv-01150-AJT-JFA Document 511 Filed 01/13/23 Page 22 of 22 PageID# 7134 Excerpt - Cross-examination of Kimberly Mehlman-Orozco by Ms. Bardot

```
1
    recognize or recall meeting either Mr. Barbazette or
2
    Mr. Mardocco; correct?
 3
         That is correct.
 4
    Q
         Okay.
5
              MS. BARDOT: That's all I have. Thank you.
 6
           (Excerpted proceedings adjourned at 5:39 p.m.)
7
                 _____
8
    I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
9
    transcription of my stenographic notes.
                                 Stephanie austin
10
11
                             Stephanie M. Austin, RPR, CRR
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
                                                            22
```